



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Macoviak et al.
 Serial No.: 10/695,433
 Filed: 28 October 2003
 For: Methods and Devices for Heart Valve Treatments

Group Art Unit: 3738
 Examiner: Unknown

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



**TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WITHIN
 THREE MONTHS OF FILING OR BEFORE MAILING OF FIRST OFFICE ACTION
 (37 CFR 1.97(b))**

NOTE: "An information disclosure statement shall be considered by the Office if filed: (1) within three months of the filing date of a national application; (2) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in S 1.491 in an international application; or (3) before the mailing date of a first Office action on the merits, whichever event occurs last." 37 CFR 1.97(b).

NOTE: The "filing date of a national application" under 37 CFR 1.97(b) has two possible meanings. Where the filing is a direct one to the United States Patent & Trademark Office, the filing is defined in 37 CFR 1.53(b) as "the date on which: (1) A specification containing a description pursuant to S 1.71 and at least one claim pursuant to S 1.75; and (2) any drawing required by S 1.81(a), are filed in the Patent and Trademark Office in the name of the actual inventor or inventors as required by S 1.41." 37 CFR 1.97(b)(1). On the other hand, an international application that enters the national stage occurs when the applicant has filed the documents and fees required by 35 U.S.C. S 371(c) within the periods set forth in S 1.494 or S 1.495. 35 U.S.C. S 371(c) requires the filing of the following: (1) the national fee; (2) a copy of the international application, unless already sent by the International Bureau, and an English translation if filed in another language; (3) amendments under PCT Article 19, with a translation into English if made in another language; (4) an oath or declaration; and (5) a translation into English of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report, if such annexes were made in another language. 37 CFR 1.97(b)(2).

**IDENTIFICATION OF TIME OF FILING THE ACCOMPANYING INFORMATION
 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

The information disclosure statement submitted herewith is being filed WITHIN THREE MONTHS OF THE FILING DATE OF THE APPLICATION OR DATE OF ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL STAGE OF AN INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION OR BEFORE THE MAILING DATE OF A FIRST OFFICE ACTION ON THE MERITS, WHICHEVER EVENT OCCURS LAST. 37 CFR 1.97(b).

NOTE "NO CERTIFICATION OR FEE IS DUE WHEN THE FILING IS MADE WITHIN THE ABOVE TIME PERIOD. IT IS ADVISABLE TO ENSURE THAT NO OFFICE ACTION HAS BEEN MAILED IF THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT IS DELAYED UNTIL AFTER THREE MONTHS FROM FILING.


NOTE: "An information disclosure statement will be considered to have been filed on the day it was received in the Office, or on an earlier date of a mailing if accompanied by a properly executed certificate of mailing under 37 CFR 1.8, or Express Mail certificate under 37 CFR 1.10. An Office action is mailed on the date indicated in the Office action." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8(a)) or (37 CFR 1.10)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United State Postal Service on the date shown below as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed as follows: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mary Szollar
 Type or print name of person mailing paper

Date: 16 June 2005


 (Signature of person mailing paper)

NOTE: "The term 'national application' includes continuing applications (continuations, divisions, continuations-in-part) so three-months will be measured from the actual filing date of an application as opposed [sic] to the effective date of a continuing application." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

NOTE: "An action on the merits means an action which treats the patentability of the claims in an application, as opposed to only formal or procedural requirements. An action on the merits would, for example, contain a rejection or indication of allowability of a claim or claims rather than just a restriction requirements (37 CFR 1.142) or just a requirement for additional fees to have a claim considered (37 CFR 1.16(d)). Thus, if an application was filed on Jan. 1 and the first Office action on the merits was not mailed until six months later on July 1, the examiner would be required to consider any proper information disclosure statement filed prior to July 1." Notice of April 20, 1992 (1138 O.G. 37-41, 39).

WARNING: "A PETITION FOR SUSPENSION OF ACTION TO ALLOW APPLICANT TIME TO SUBMIT AN INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT WILL BE DENIED AS FAILING TO PRESENT GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASONS, SINCE 37 CFR 1.97 PROVIDES ADEQUATE RECOURSE FOR THE TIMELY SUBMISSION OF PRIOR ART FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE EXAMINER." NOTICE OF JULY 6, 1992 (1141 O.G. 63).

The submission of any document herewith is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a *prima facie* prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

Should any fees be necessary in connection with this submission, please charge same to Account No. 06-2360.

Date 16 June 2005



Reg. No. 29,243

Signature of Attorney of Record

Daniel D. Ryan

Type or Print Name of Attorney of Record


RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.

Post Office Box 26618

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226-0618

IDS 2

LIST OF PRIOR ART CITED BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		ATTY DOCKET NO. 9542.18426-CON	SERIAL NO. 10/695,433
		APPLICANT Macoviak et al.	IDS # 2
Customer No. 26308 JUN 20 2005		FILING DATE October 28, 2003	GROUP 3738
			1/1



OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

		Templeton III, et al. "Experimental Reconstruction of Cardiac Valves by Venous and Pericardial Grafts." Annals of Surgery Vol. 129, No. 2, February 1949, 161-176.
		Moore et al. "Unsuitability of Transventricular Autogenous Slings For Diminishing Valvular Insufficiency." Surgery, Vol. 33, No. 2, February 1953, 173-182.
		Murray et al. "Reconstruction of the Valves of the Heart." The Canadian Medical Association Journal, Vol. 38, No. 4, April 1938, 317-319.
		Bolling et al. "Early Outcome of Mitral Valve Reconstruction in Patients With End-Stage Cardiomyopathy." J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1995; 109:676-683.
		Kameda et al. "Annuloplasty For Severe Mitral Regurgitation Due to Dilated Cardiomyopathy." Ann Thorac Surg 1996; 61:1829-1832.
		Bolling et al. "Intermediate-Term Outcome of Mitral Reconstruction in Cardiomyopathy." Journal of Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery, Vol. 115, No. 2, February 1998, 381-388.
		Harlan et al. Manual of Cardiac Surgery, Vol 2, 1981 Figs. 16.3-16.4
		Edmunds, Jr. et al. "Septal Defect." Atlas of Cardiothoracic Surgery 1990
		Koniaris, MD et al. "Dynamic Retention: A Technique for Closure of the Complex Abdomen in Critically Ill Patients." Archives of Surgery, Vol. 136, No. 12, December 2001, 1359-1362.
		Fucci et al. "Improved Results With Mitral Valve Repair Using New Surgical Techniques." European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, Vol. 9, 1995, 621-626.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this with next communication to applicant.	